

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

RYAN SCOTT ADAMS,

Plaintiff,

V.

BRUCE LANUM, et al.,

## Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:24-CV-5034-KKE-DWC

ORDER RENOTING CROSS  
MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT

This prisoner civil rights action has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge

David W. Christel. On January 3, 2025, Plaintiff Ryan Scott Adams filed a motion for summary

judgment, which is currently noted for consideration on January 31, 2025. Dkt. 40. On January

10, 2025, Defendants Bruce Lanum and Adam Clarno (collectively “Defendants”) also moved

for summary judgment, and their motion is noted for consideration on February 7, 2025. Dkt. 4.

On January 24, 2025, Plaintiff filed a document titled "Summary Judgment 2.0," in which

responds to Defendants' arguments for summary judgment in their favor and raises new

arguments and evidence for summary judgment in his favor. Dkt. 52 (“Summary Judgment 2.0”))

<sup>53</sup> (Plaintiff's evidence). Given the ambiguous title, the Clerk's Office docketed the filing as a

1 new summary judgment motion. *See* Dkt. 52. However, as this document was filed after the  
2 January 10, 2025 deadline to file dispositive motions expired (Dkt. 36), the Court does not  
3 construe it as a new summary judgment motion but rather as a response in opposition to  
4 Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 43) and a reply in support of Plaintiff's own  
5 motion (Dkt. 40). The Clerk is therefore directed to update the docket in accordance with this  
6 Order.

7 In addition, the Court typically considers cross motions together, even if they are noted  
8 for different days. *See* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(k). Accordingly, the Clerk is further  
9 directed to re-note Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 40) for consideration on  
10 February 7, 2025, as this will allow the cross motions for summary judgment to be considered  
11 simultaneously. The new noting date for Plaintiff's motion does not reflect an extension to the  
12 briefing deadlines established in LCR 7(d)(4) of this Court's Local Rules. Thus, with the  
13 exception of Defendants' reply in support of their own motion for summary judgment, which  
14 remains due on February 7, 2025, no additional briefing on the cross motions for summary  
15 judgment will be considered unless filed with leave of court.

16 Dated this 4th day of February, 2025.

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 David W. Christel  
20 United States Magistrate Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24